# Cordry-Sweetwater Conservancy District Security Commission Meeting

August 12<sup>th</sup>, 2025

# \*DRAFT UNTIL APPROVED

1. Members Present: Brian Clancy, Jim Maulden, Steve Burke, Tom Quill, Carrie Vavul, Matt Murtha, and Cathie Brown

2. Members Present Virtually: None

3. Members Absent: None

## 4. Also, Present:

a. Staff: Brittany Bay

**b. Freeholders**: Nancy Quill, Randy Brumfield Brenda Maulden, Brent Keith, Susie Williams, Meghann York, Joyce Tow, Kimberly Dusenbury, Shane Dusenbury, Jayme Golias and Amy Sherman

**5. Welcome:** Mr. Clancy called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.

# 6. Agenda Modifications:

**a.** Mr. Maulden asked to add line item 5. Lt. Colton Magner Beach Parking and add line item 10.a. Slow Moving Watercraft in the Fast Zone.

MOTION: Mr. Clancy motioned to approve agenda modifications as requested, seconded by Mr. Quill. Motion passed 5-0.

### 7. Lt. Colton Magner

a. Mr. Maulden introduced Lt. Magner and noted he had been working with him on speed limits and the Conservancy being an urban District and gave the floor to Lt. Magner. Mr. Magner noted that he and Mr. Maulden met at the Clubhouse about a month ago and discussed speed limits. Mr. Magner noted that he believed they are private roads here and they can't do anything about it, so it's the state law which is 55mph. Mr. Magner noted that he doesn't have a book of County Ordinances but Mr. Maulden provided him with one from 2008 where the commissioners at the time designated Cordry Sweetwater as an urban district and that gives lower speed limits by state statute. Mr. Magner noted the issue with going by County Ordinance only is there would have to be a county attorney willing to file those and you would have to have specific studies to lower speed limits. Mr. Magner noted he spoke with Rob Seet, the Chief Deputy Prosecutor, to confirm they are good with this, and he confirmed. Mr. Magner noted that speed can be well enforced. Mr. Magner discussed the two-lane roads versus the one-lane roads. Mr. Magner noted he never knew this ordinance existed but now that they know it does, they can follow state law. Mr. Magner noted that he had spoken with Mr. Maulden about the main complaints and if people had them to send them to him, Mr. Maulden, or Mrs. Bay. Mr. Magner noted he picks up a lot of morning shifts. Mr. Maulden noted that it won't take very many tickets for this to get on Facebook, and people know were writing tickets again. Mr. Maulden noted that the complaint he hears about is about construction workers getting off work and going faster than 15 mph on the side roads. Mr. Magner noted that if they stop someone and they don't receive a ticket they get a warning it is logged so they can see if it becomes a

problem. Mr. Burke asked if the commission would have access to that information and report to freeholders how many tickets have been written. Mr. Clancy noted that last year Crissie provided him with a run sheet and that would be included. Mr. Magner noted they can pull them as well. Mr. Magner noted that the Ordinance has an attachment with all of the roads. Amy Sherman asked if the officers that are working out here are being paid by the Sheriffs Department or by Cordry Sweetwater. Mrs. Bay noted they are paid by both, sometimes they are working for the County and sometimes they are working for the District as part-time help. There was discussion over scheduling. Mr. Clancy noted that this would be a process, and he hopes it has a ripple effect. Mr. Magner noted that if people wanted to allow officers to sit in their driveways on the side streets to radar to let him know. Mr. Maulden noted that he loves seeing people riding bikes and out walking and we must share the roads with each other, and we need to slow down. Mrs. Sherman asked what the deal was when she called for a trespass at the beach, and the officer told her it was a CSCD issue even though she was threatened. Mr. Magner noted trespass statute had gone through some turmoil in the last couple of years. Mr. Magner noted that it used to be a law enforcement officer was seen as an agent of the property owner and that was fine but there was case law a couple of years ago and all of the prosecutors wanted hands off trespassing issues, without an agent of ownership of that property saying it. Mr. Magner noted that the beach is a private entity of the District. Mrs. Bay noted that by the resolution it must be herself, Nick Johann or a Board member, to trespass someone. Mr. Magner noted that with that she would just have to call one of them and then ask them to leave. Mrs. Sherman asked about the person threatening her. Mr. Magner noted that it would be covered under intimidation and what prosecutors like to see with intimidation cases is a substantial step, and recordings are everything. Mrs. Sherman noted that she wanted to say something, and she did not want it to be taken as anything negative towards the Sheriff's Department, but in the last year every time she needed a Sheriff it has been no back up, or she has been told it's a CSCD issue. Mrs. Sherman noted that she doesn't feel safe and that they needed to rethink physical security here and that it has nothing to do with the Sheriff's Department. Mrs. Sherman noted the Lt. Magner had been there and helped her a few times personally and she did not want anyone to think she was being negative towards the Sheriff's Department. Mrs. Sherman noted that she is not alone in her thoughts about this, many lot owners have come to the beach and expressed the same things. Mrs. Sherman noted that sometimes it can be a 40-minute wait. Mrs. Sherman discussed some of the incidents that she had encountered and how she felt unsafe. Mrs. Sherman noted that they have great Brown County Sheriffs, but they can't be out here all the time. Mrs. Sherman noted this was a huge deal to her as both someone who has lived here 40 years and as a beach attendant. Mrs. Sherman noted we need someone here all of the time and mentioned whether that is having a person here during the week and having Bown County Sheriff fill in the rest of the time, because she believes we need to have someone here. Mrs. Sherman noted last weekend she turned away 10 groups of people. Mr. Maulden asked what they were turned away for. Mrs. Sherman noted they did not have their beach pass. Mr. Maulden noted that the security commission is going to work on that next year by making the beach private again. Mr. Maulden noted that this is an issue the beach attendants are having because the beach isn't private anymore. Mr. Maulden noted that we allow them to come here for 4th of July. Mrs. Sherman noted people google beaches near me and Sweetwater comes up and then people come. Mr. Manager noted that if Mrs. Sherman calls and the deputy shows up and deems it doesn't need to be reported to the prosecutor that doesn't mean they can't make a report, and she can ask them to make a report to keep it on file. Mr. Magner noted it is much more searchable if there is a report, than just a CAD call.

Mr. Clancy thanked Lt. Magner for coming to the meeting. Mr. Maulden asked if any commission members had questions for Lt. Magner. The Commission members thanked Lt. Magner for coming to the meeting. Mr. Maulden thanked Lt. Magner and noted they were looking forward to that first ticket on Facebook that someone will be complaining about. Mr. Magner gave his card to everyone.

# 8. Approval of Minutes:

**a.** The Commission reviewed the July Commission Meeting Minutes.

MOTION: Mr. Clancy motioned to approve July 8<sup>th</sup>, 2025, Security Commission Minutes, as submitted, seconded by Mrs. Brown. Motion passed 7-0.

### 9. Freeholder Concerns:

- **a.** Brent Keith introduced himself and noted he was here just in case someone contested a ticket.
- **b.** Jayme Golias introduced herself and noted during idle time they observed several boats that don't have lights and asked if there was anything they could do about that. Mr. Keith noted that if they are out their nav lights aren't working. There was discussion over loud music. Mrs. Bay noted there was not a noise ordinance.
- **c.** Susie Williams noted she wanted to address what was happening with the girls in the boat who drove through beach area and how they were being punished. Mr. Clancy noted this was going to be spoken about in the next section under violation concerns.
- d. Joyce Tow introduced herself. Mrs. Vavul noted that she was her mother. Mrs. Tow noted that they appreciated the speed bumps put in on Grey Wolf, but they are put so far down the road that it's not really affective. Mr. Maulden noted that we have maintenance adjust them and move them back. Mr. Maulden noted once they're moved Mrs. Tow should get back with them and let them know how they are working out, because they have some permanent speed bumps put into the budget to be put in on Grizzly, Eagle and maybe one place on Cordry because those are feeder roads. Mr. Maulden noted if it's a positive effect they may start doing this every year, if it passes the Board.
- e. Amy Sherman noted that she has been here since she was 12 and has been a beach attendant for the last 6 years. Mrs. Sherman noted that they need to have some kind of effective security out here. Mrs. Sherman noted she feels it is very unsafe, and others feel the same. Mrs. Sherman noted that there should be a security person that can back them up whether it's the beach attendants, or boat patrol there needs to be someone involved in the community who is security and can be the authority out here. Mrs. Sherman noted that she can do what she can. Mrs. Sherman noted that she wanted to make the security commission aware of this. Mr. Clancy noted that they could chat more about this. Mr. Murtha noted that she works for the CSCD and one of her jobs is to enforce the beach passes and make sure people aren't trespassing and she can't call the deputies to trespass. Mr. Maulden noted that she can call the officer just has to hear from somebody on the Board. Mr. Murtha

noted he believed she said she calls the Sheriff and they say it's a CSCD problem. Mrs. Sherman noted they came out but that is what they say. Mr. Burke noted that he understood what Mrs. Sherman was saying but that it is everywhere. Mr. Burke gave an example of someone breaking into a funeral home in Indianapolis and that he reported and the officers response of the government isn't concerned with this. Mr. Burke noted he wasn't saying that her issues weren't important but that is unfortunately the reality of the world currently. Mr. Burke noted that he understood but the alternative is expensive, and he doesn't know if it's necessary. Mrs. Sherman noted that for 40 years there was a police force here and that the CSCD went to the state and changed the law to allow for Marshalls. Mr. Burke noted that they could talk at another time. Mrs. Sherman noted that this is not Indianapolis. Mr. Keith noted that Mrs. Sherman had called boat patrol before for back up at the beach.

**f.** Brenda Maulden noted that a freeholder had brought up an issue of reserving the shelter for the women's group for the lakes that they had went to reserve for next month and the fees associated with it. Mr. Clancy noted the shelter house reservation is on the agenda.

# 10. Freeholder Violation Concerns:

a. Meghann York noted that she was contesting tickets. Mr. Clancy asked if she was the freeholder. Mrs. York noted that she was not. Mr. Clancy gave her the floor and asked if she would like to know what the commission found out about this incident. Mrs. York asked if all her emails were sent to the commission. Mr. Clancy noted that he had all the emails. Mrs. York asked if there was more information than just what she had sent. Mrs. York noted that she emailed some information over and that her parents have had a house here for 30 years and she knows the boating rules. Mrs. York noted that she got the rope caught in her boat prop, but she did not drive into the beach area and that it is terrifying to think about someone doing that. Mrs. York noted her neighbor was on the boat with her and she had her send over a statement as well. Mrs. York noted she also sent over a text message from her sister. Mr. Clancy let Mrs. York know the process of how violation concerns are heard and that they hear the freeholder's point of view, the commission has a discussion and then it is turned over to the Board. Mr. Clancy let Mrs. York know that this is not a criminal investigation, but the Brown County Sheriff's Department did show up after this incident and he had reviewed their body camera footage. Mr. Clancy noted that there is a lot of information pointing in a different direction than what Mrs. York described. Mr. Clancy noted that they had a freeholder come forward and they are so adamant that they saw Mrs. Yorks boat and identified the make, color, and lot number on the boat, as the one driving over the beach rope that they wanted criminal charges filed and would be willing to testify. Mr. Clancy noted that that is not going to happen but wanted Mrs. York to know how adamant cooperating freeholder number one was. Mr. Clancy noted that cooperating freeholder number two was able to describe the boat by color as they were at the beach. Mr. Clancy noted that cooperating freeholder number three saw WS 59's boat coming from the main body of water not from the cove over like Mrs. York's statement said. Mr. Clancy noted that freeholder number three stated they had made contact with the people on the boat and were greeted with rude comments. Mr. Clancy noted that he had asked Mrs. Bay to pull a list of all boats in the York's cove and verify there were no other white Bayliners. Mr. Clancy noted that it was circumstantial but cooperating freeholders 2 and 3 both noted that the Bayliner was driving without navigation lights. Mr. Clancy noted the security commission made their choice to issue 3 tickets because they felt they could be issued because of reasonable suspicion and probable cause. Mr. Clancy noted there are a lot of freeholders who are very upset about this incident occurred. Mr. Clancy noted that freeholders 1 & 2 both had their children in the water and were scared. Mr. Clancy asked Mrs. York who was driving her boat around 10:20. Mrs. York noted that she would have been driving. Mr. Clancy noted that she told the deputy that she wasn't driving because the deputy identified her as being drunk, unless she lied to the deputy. Mrs. York noted that she was not drunk. Mr. Clancy noted that the body camera showed her slurring her words. Mrs. York confirmed she was driving. Mr. Clancy noted that then Mrs. York lied to the deputy. Mr. Clancy noted that if she had told the deputy the truth he could have put her through a PTB test and possibly been arrested for drunk driving. There was discussion over drinking. Mr. Clancy noted that now Mrs. York's credibility is shot because she lied to a law enforcement officer. Mr. Clancy noted that he and Mr. Burke are both law enforcement officers. Mr. Clancy noted he was asking for someone to take responsibility for what happened because there are a lot of people that have stepped forward identifying Mrs. York's boat as the one responsible. Mr. Clancy noted that currently he did not believe Mrs. York's statement. Mr. Clancy noted that they were just doing their job as the security commission. Mrs. York noted she understood what Mr. Clancy was saying but she gave a statement of what she experienced that evening and it is very hard to argue with multiple people who saw it happen differently. Mrs. York noted that she did not do this but at some point, it's just her word against three other people. Mr. Clancy noted that this is just the process, and the security commission doesn't get to decide what happens the Board will get to, and they will have a difficult decision to make. Mr. Clancy asked if there was possibly anyone else driving the boat at that time. Mrs. York noted that she had her wife, niece, and her neighbor in the boat with her. Mr. Clancy asked Mrs. York if her story would change if he said that someone may have got the incident on video. Mrs. York noted that it would not change. Mrs. York noted that she didn't see how her statement was going to change their minds or prove she didn't do this, and they have received their violations so they will not be on the lake for a year, and she wants people to feel safe. Mr. Clancy noted in the body camera footage that Mrs. York was the only one talking and wouldn't allow anyone else to speak. Mr. Keith asked if she was the one who turned the beach rope into him the following. Mrs. York confirmed she was. Mr. Clancy reviewed Mrs. Yorks statement and mentioned there was a lot of circumstantial

evidence. Mrs. York noted she did not know how to prove her case and asked if she needed the other people in the boat to make statements or come in and give their accounts. Mr. Clancy noted anything that she had that could prove they were not at the beach at that timeframe he is all for them providing. Mr. Clancy noted that if they could provide that and the commission got this wrong, they would rescind those tickets. Mr. Clancy noted that there were freeholders present who wanted to speak about the incident. Kimberly Dusenbury noted that she was at the beach that evening with her kids and mentioned that they did not have their lights on and they could have hit someone who was closer to the rope. Mrs. Dusenbury noted that she was glad she had been paying attention because she had to run out and get her kids out of the water. Mr. Clancy noted that this would bring this section of the agenda to conclusion. Mr. Dusenbury noted he was not present, but his wife came home and told him about this incident and asked the commission to consider it longer than one year because his family was out there. Mr. Clancy noted there were rules on the amount of time and reviewed the violation section of the rules. A freeholder asked if Mrs. York owned the lot on Robin. Mr. Clancy noted that she did not and was not the freeholder her parents were. Mr. Clancy noted this was technically for freeholder violations and he could not have allowed her to speak but he did. The freeholder noted she appreciated that, and her concern was she did not believe she had ever seen Mrs. York before. A freeholder asked if she returned the rope to boat patrol where did she get the rope at. Mr. Clancy noted that the claim was that when they were coming around the cove it was floating the water and got attached. Mrs. Brown noted that Mr. Clancy handled this topic very well. Mr. Maulden asked if they needed to make their motion. Mrs. Brown noted she didn't realize they removed the two-year violation. Mr. Vavul noted she was concerned about the cost of reinstalling and replacing the beach rope. There was discussion. Mr. Clancy noted that they issued three tickets, one of the tickets issued was for being to close to the beach which falls under resolution 2024-20, the second ticket was issued for destruction of property this falls under title 14 boating laws, and the third ticket was issued for unsafe or invasive maneuvering which falls under title 14 boating laws. Mr. Clancy noted in his opinion there were multiple other tickets that could have been issued. Mr. Burke reviewed a boating accident that had occurred and noted how serious this is. Mrs. Brown asked if the can issue more than three tickets. Mr. Clancy noted that they could issue more than three but based on the rules he didn't believe it would carry more weight than the three. There was discussion over issuing more tickets. Mr. Maulden noted the only thing he had was Roger, the CSCD attorney, said that title 14 was too broad to use and he is there to recommend he is not there to make the decision and Mr. Maulden noted he did not agree with his recommendation. Mr. Clancy agreed with Mr. Maulden, saying that he did not agree with his recommendation and confirmed Mr. Burke did not agree either. Mrs. Vavul noted she believed they should pay to replace the rope and the man hours to install it. There was discussion over making a recommendation to amend a section of resolution 2024-20.

There was discussion over the decaled watercraft for the lot owners at WS 59.

MOTION: Mr. Clancy motioned to approve enforcing the three tickets as issued, which were destruction of property, unsafe maneuvering, and entering the restricted beach area; the IC codes that go with the violations are section 14-15-3-6 dangerous operation prohibited and 14-15-4-1 duties of an operator and issue additional tickets for no use of navigational lights, producing a wake during at a no wake time, 14-15-3-3 careful and prudent operation, and 14-15-4-2 notice and reports. Mr. Clancy motioned to approve a penalty for those violations as revocation of boat decals for all motorized watercraft for WS 59 for 1 year and further limit use of motorized watercraft for an additional year. Seconded by Mr. Burke. Motion passed 7-0.

# 11. Director of Finance & Admin Report:

- a. Mrs. Bay reviewed her report.
- **b.** Mrs. Bay noted the light change for the lakes.
- c. Mr. Clancy noted that not all the buoys are going to be replaced on Cordry because there currently are not enough. Mr. Maulden noted that Josh Moster has had a buoy missing in front of his house and he has been emailing Mr. Maulden for 2 ½ months. Mr. Clancy noted that the maintenance guys have been working on a lot of leaks. Mr. Maulden noted that he knows there are a lot of leaks but that is an excuse. Mr. Maulden noted that when he was on maintenance safety came first and this is on a curve, and it needs to be out there.

### 12. Old Business:

#### a. Boat Patrol Camera Review

- 1. Mrs. Brown noted she wears her body camera all the time but believes some of the other boat patrol members do not.
- **2.** Mr. Clancy noted that it is hard to dispute evidence if it's recorded.

### b. Buoy Numbers/Tape Review

- 1. Mr. Clancy noted this would be completed in spring of 2026.
- 2. Mr. Clancy noted he was very proud of this project.

### c. Budget Review

1. Mr. Clancy noted he believed the Board had a final budget meeting last month. Mrs. Bay noted that last month was the budget workshop. Mr. Maulden noted that they would have another budget meeting next week. Mrs. Bay noted that the Public Hearing for the Budget will be in September and

- Adoption of the Budget will be in October. Mrs. Bay confirmed there would not be a budget meeting this month.
- 2. Mr. Maulden noted he had Mr. Quill research other boats besides the rhib. Mr. Quill noted that they used to have a Bayliner for a patrol boat, with no padding or inflatable around it and that would cost \$43,000. Mr. Quill noted a boston whaler was suggested and it would not have padding or inflatable and cost \$ 80,000. Mr. Quill noted that an inflatable boat called a high field would cost \$65,995. Mr. Quill noted that the inflatable 2023 North Star boat would cost \$ 48,800 and the hours on it were not available. Mr. Quill noted that an inflatable 2023 Zodiac would cost \$54,000. Mr. Quill noted that we currently have 2 Inmar boats in 2018 and 2020 with 115HP motors. Mr. Quill noted that a new Inmar inflatable boat would cost \$44,995.95 on the website and the shorter version of this with a 90HP engine would cost \$39,995. Mr. Quill noted there are dealer in St. Louis but couldn't match the website price because shipping would increase the cost. Mr. Maulden asked if that is because the Inmar boats come out of California. Mr. Quill confirmed. Mr. Quill noted there were some dealers on the East Coast and in Florida. Mr. Quill noted the Inmar boats are in their price range. Mr. Burke asked Mrs. Brown if the length of the boat would make a difference. Mrs. Brown noted that it was two feet different, if they were hauling something it may make a difference. Mr. Clancy noted that the cliff notes of what Mr. Quill just presented is the boat make we are currently using seems to be the most cost efficient. Mr. Quill confirmed. Mr. Maulden asked Mrs. Brown if she saw issue with the 18ft boat. Mrs. Brown noted she didn't believe so. Mrs. Bay noted that if they are going to poll boat patrol, they should poll the boat patrol members that drive them the most. Mrs. Bay noted that she believed that one boat patrol member should not make that decision, they should all be asked. Mrs. Bay gave the example that on a Monday or Tuesday when Mrs. Brown works, she may not be towing trees/logs out of the water as frequently as other shifts. Mrs. Brown noted that if they go to the 18 ft one that one also has the smaller motor on it. Mr. Quill noted that it would go down to 90HP. Mrs. Brown noted the smaller HP would make a big difference.
- 3. Mr. Clancy noted that they had already budgeted for the larger Inmar rhib boat so ultimately if it is approved it could happen. Mr. Maulden noted he asked Mr. Quill to do this because there was discussion about this boat amongst the Board. Mr. Maulden noted he wanted some other options. Mrs. Bay noted that there was discussion about the life span of the boat, and they have had the boat since 2018 and there is nothing mechanically wrong with the current the boat and she believes it would last well into its life span. Mrs. Bay noted the main concern is moving the pontoon off the lake. Mr. Clancy noted

that if they went to the smaller rhib things may not be as interchangeable. Mrs. Brown noted that she believed it would be wise to have all the boats be the same, that would make sense. Mr. Maulden noted as a maintenance guy you like when all the parts are the same. Mrs. Brown noted the shorter rhib if you tried to put a larger motor on that would flip. Mr. Maulden thanked Mr. Quill for his work on this.

#### d. Beach Shelter house

- 1. Mr. Clancy noted that they left off with having a maximum capacity at the shelter house. Mr. Maulden noted it was their shelter they can set the occupancy at whatever they want too, it doesn't have to be off a fire code. Mr. Clancy noted he felt that 80 was reasonable. Mr. Maulden asked Randy Brumfield and Brenda Maulden at the ecology meeting if they discussed this at all. Mr. Brumfield noted they touched on this topic but did not come up with anything. Mr. Brumfield noted that the shelter rental has become a commercial entity and that is not what it was meant for. Mr. Maulden noted at the last meeting they discussed making it non-commercial also. Mrs. Vavul noted that would limit the shelter but that would not limit the beach, because you could still submit a facility use agreement. Mrs. Maulden noted the women's group were last week and sat in the shelter. Mr. Maulden noted that they all have beach passes but when they went to reserve the shelter house today they were told if they have a group there, they can sit at the beach but not under the reserved shelter. Mrs. Sherman confirmed that is the policy because then people would not reserve the shelter they would just show up and want to utilize it without paying the reservation fee. Mrs. Maulden asked if this was on a weekend because they were wanting it for a weeknight. Maulden noted that what had happened last year or the year before someone on the CSLOA reserved the shelter for the lady's group but now the CSLOA is no longer hosting this event. Mrs. Bay noted the only groups that can reserve the shelter for free are not for profits like the Church of the lakes, CSLOA or the CSVFD. Mrs. Maulden noted that they all had their beach passes and the shelter wasn't reserved. Mrs. Bay noted that they could use the other side of the shelter house where employees sit. Mr. Maulden noted he believed that is what the shelter is for to get people together. Mr. Brumfield noted that it was built for kids birthday parties and get-togethers. Mr. Brumfield noted that there isn't anything saying people can't sit in the other shelter. Mrs. Bay noted that sometimes people have little groups on that side of the shelter or baptisms gather there a lot. Mr. Clancy tabled this topic.
- 2. Mr. Maulden noted he just realized the CSLOA Ox Roast wasn't on the agenda, and it should be because they were supposed to hear back from Mrs. Vavul. Mrs. Vavul noted they

didn't really talk about it last meeting. Mrs. Maulden noted that they had discussed in ecology that they needed them to make a decision on how they are going to play this out and have their plan by October. Mrs. Vavul agreed the CSLOA needs to come to the, with a plan. Mrs. Maulden noted at the last meeting that they discussed making it private but they were going to need a lot of help, and they are still relying on CSCD to do their job. Mr. Brumfield noted that it was not a CSCD event in his opinion, and if they want to have the event, go for it, but the CSCD funds are tax dollars and they can't be used to hire extra security for someone else's event. Mr. Maulden noted that it is going to be hard to make it a private event and suggested taking the Ox Roast back to the Clubhouse and keeping the events separate, so there is not so many people coming to the beach at one time. Mrs. Bay noted that if she recalled from the last meeting their issue with that was they were only going to put on one event. Mr. Burke agreed that is what was said. Mr. Maulden noted that for the fireworks someone from the CSLOA doesn't need to be there for the fireworks. Mrs. Maulden noted that they hire the fireworks out and pay \$12,000 for it, and they don't need a babysitter for that. Mrs. Maulden noted she believed Alison had said the fireworks had been covered. Mrs. Vavul noted she did not recall that being said. Mrs. Bay noted that she did not recall that, and Alison has asked her to share something on the CSCD website for fireworks donations. Mrs. Sherman noted that Alison had mentioned that they had made \$12,000 on fireworks night but that isn't going to cover operating costs for the clubhouse and library. There was discussion over who were members of the CSLOA. Mr. Clancy noted that he believed they should all be working together, and there are changes that need to be made but they should all work towards that. Mrs. Maulden noted that her and Mrs. Vavul are not voting members they just report back on the commissions to CSLOA. Mrs. Bay noted that Alison was trying to get volunteers so if anyone wanted to that would be helpful. Mr. Brumfield noted that volunteerism is down. Mr. Brumfield noted there are certain people that volunteer and there are people that come here to party and go home. Mr. Brumfield noted they needed to look at the vision they ae moving forward with, and do they want that all inclusive resort feel. Mrs. Maulden noted that it's because they are the ones catering to everybody else that comes down here. Mrs. Maulden noted it's the same volunteering for everything and they are volunteered out. Mr. Maulden noted the biggest thing he is looking at is making the beach private again. Mr. Maulden noted that if the CSLOA can't keep the beach private, then we need to step in and tell them they need to take their event back to the CSLOA Clubhouse where you can have

anybody that you want down there. Mr. Maulden noted they need to come up with a way to keep it private.

## e. Personal Buoys

- 1. Mr. Maulden noted he has heard from a few people that the lake looks a lot better with the personal buoys gone. Mrs. Maulden asked if the anglers had noticed a difference. Mr. Brumfield noted it is a lot better than it was.
- 2. Mr. Maulden noted that a freeholder came to the Board meeting that about his volleyball net. Mr. Maulden noted he thought he would be in attendance tonight, but he did not show up. There was discussion on removing the volleyball net. It was requested that Mrs. Bay reach out to the freeholder to see if they could go on his property to see how to remove the volleyball net.

# f. Speed Humps

1. Mr. Maulden noted this was turned into the budget and the Board.

#### 13. New Business

### a. Slow Motorized Watercraft in Fast Zone

1. Mr. Maulden noted that a freeholder sent him an email about Cordry being too crowded with slow moving boats. Mr. Maulden noted that in his opinion if they have slow moving boats go to the right. Mrs. Vavul noted she thought that was a rule. Mr. Quill noted that its boat etiquette for the slow-moving boat to be outside the buoys. It was asked that Mrs. Bay share this boat etiquette with freeholders.

#### 14. Commission Member Concerns:

- 1. Mr. Murtha discussed a tree down in the lake on Cordry being a hazard. There was discussion over the tree down. Mr. Maulden asked if a buoy could be placed by it until it's removed. Mrs. Bay noted that it is right by a buoy. It was asked that Mrs. Bay send a letter to the freeholder.
- 2. Mr. Clancy thanked the freeholders that came forward and provided information related to the July 4<sup>th</sup> incident. Mr. Clancy thanked the commission for all of their hard work.

# 15. Adjourn (8:53 PM)

MOTION: Mr. Clancy moved to adjourn, seconded by Mrs. Vavul. Motion passed unanimously.